## MEMORANDUM

To: School Board Members


From: $\quad$ Natalie Roca, Executive Director
Date: February 15, 2013

## Re: Board Work Session for Charter Schools Applying for Renewal

The review of charter school renewal applications is on the agenda for the February 19, 2013 Board Work Session. Three charter schools with terms ending on June 30, 2013 currently seek renewal: Sarasota Military Academy (SMA), Sarasota School of Arts and Sciences (SSAS) and the Suncoast School for Innovative Studies (SSIS).

As the charter sponsor, renewing charters is the responsibility of the School Board of Sarasota County. As authorized in Florida Statute 1002.33 (8), the sponsor may choose not to renew or may terminate a charter on any of the following grounds:

1. Failure to participate in the state's education accountability system created in F.S. 1008.31, as required in this section, or failure to meet the requirements for student performance stated in the charter.
2. Failure to meet generally accepted standards of fiscal management.
3. Violation of law.
4. Other good cause shown.

The charter renewal process consists of reviews of the following areas of accountability: business operations and fiscal management, student performance, and compliance.

Business Operations and Fiscal Management: The review of this area of accountability primarily consists of examining the annual financial and compliance reports filed by the charter holder with School Board of Sarasota County. The sponsor will evaluate whether the charter holder has filed all of the required reports and whether the reports demonstrate that the charter holder has satisfied generally accepted accounting standards of fiscal management under the applicable statutes and rules.

Student Performance: The review of this area of accountability is primarily based on examining state and Federal accountability ratings that have been issued since the charter school began operating and determining whether the charter holder has satisfied the student performance requirements under the applicable statutes and rules.

Compliance: The review of this area of accountability involves evaluating the charter holder's compliance with the initial charter contract, federal and state requirements, and reporting requirements. This review includes but is not limited to services provided to ESE and ELL
students, health and safety requirements, class size requirements and general services to parents and students at the school. This review also consists of evaluating the information provided in the completed renewal application.

The district's charter renewal review parallels the process used to initially evaluate charter school applicants. To date, the following steps have been taken or are expected in this year's renewal process:

- Each charter school submitted a renewal application by January 7, 2013.
- The district's Charter Review Committee (CRC) met on January 17 and 24, 2013 to review each school's application and to review results of routine annual monitoring conducted by the district and other documented evidence of school compliance with requirements and policies.
- Based on the CRC's review and discussions, some schools were asked to provide clarifying or updated information.
- On February 19, 2013, each charter school's administrator and governing board member(s) will meet with the School Board to present their renewal request. The School Board may ask questions or request additional information from the school or district staff.
- The School Board will vote on each charter's renewal at the March 19 or April 2, 2013 School Board Meeting.
- If the Board approves a charter's renewal, a new charter agreement will be negotiated with the charter school's Governing Board and voted upon by the School Board of Sarasota County at a subsequent meeting. The new term of the charter would take effect on July 1, 2013.

The following charter school renewal information is submitted for your review:

- Charter Review Committee Summary and Background Information
- Charter Review Committee's Clarification Questions and School Responses
- Charter School Renewal Application

Please call me if I can answer any questions about this material or process before the workshop.
cc: Lori White Katrina Ward

# Sarasota County Public Schools <br> 2012-2013 Charter Renewal Application for 

## Sarasota Military Academy

Information Considered to Determine Recommendation for Charter School Renewal

- Sarasota Military Academy (SMA) is seeking a 15 year charter extension.
- SMA currently serves students in grades 9 - 12. December 2012 enrollment is at 954 with $21 \%$ minority students and $33 \%$ on free/reduced lunch.
- SMA is not planning to add additional grade levels or to expand enrollment beyond the projected student enrollment trends. The student enrollment history and projected enrollment is attached.


## School Finances and Financial Viability

The audited financial statements as of June 30, 2012 indicate the unassigned fund balance of Sarasota Military Academy (SMA), Inc. was $\$ 802,553$ or $11.59 \%$ of total revenues. The total gross fund balance was $\$ 906,417$ as of June 30, 2012. The December 31, 2012 financial statement indicates the unassigned fund Balance to be $\$ 970,746$ or approximately $16 \%$ of total revenues and a gross fund balance of $\$ 6,255,432$. The gross fund balance contains a $\$ 4,000,000$ contribution for construction of a new building that will begin this winter. In summary SMA has maintained an acceptable level of unassigned fund balance during the period of their contract that expires June 30, 2013.

Appropriate information and supporting documentation is in place that the school is in compliance with the requirements of financial accounting, including evidence of financial accounting procedures, evidence that monthly financial statements are filed timely with the District, evidence that the annual audit is consistent with GASB 34 requirements and submitted by the required date, evidence that capital projects allocations are expended in accordance with an approved plan and the school has an established system of accounting for fixed assets in accordance with Rules of the State Chief Financial Officer.

## Student Achievement and Accountability

SMA was rated an "A" school in 2012. Over the past five years student proficiency levels are higher than the district's average in reading, mathematics and science. The graduation rate for SMA seniors was $95.6 \%$ in 2012, and remains above the district's average graduation rate. Achievement data for SMA compared to neighboring high
schools and the district are attached. The addenda to the renewal application submitted by SMA provide detailed data for the FCAT and other indicators of student achievement, such as college readiness and performance on advanced coursework.

The school participates in all district and state-required assessments and adheres to all school improvement planning and school grades reporting requirements.

## Curriculum \& Instruction

The educational focus set forth in the application is evident in the school environment. SMA's curriculum is aligned with the Next Generation Sunshine Standards and the Common Core State Standards. Instructional strategies are differentiated for students reading at and below grade level. SMA's instructional staff participate in professional development activities that support effective teaching. The schools has submitted an application to implement an International Baccalaureate program and plans to offer Pre IB classes during the 2013-2014 school-year.

## Exceptional Student Education (ESE) and ELL Services

Procedures are in place and followed for determining eligibility and placement in the least restrictive environment and the provision of special education and related services to ESE students as set forth in the IDEA guidelines. ESE education and related services are being delivered as indicated on the student's IEP. Service delivery models implemented at the school align with the level of service indicated in the application. Over the years, in those instances where state or district monitoring findings indicated discrepancies in ESE required documentation, SMA staff acted responsibly to work with district ESE staff to correct or resolve the matter in a timely manner.

Historically the school's ESOL program follows the district plan and has met all requirements to ensure compliance with the META Consent Decree. ESOL education and related services are being delivered as indicated on the student's ELL Plan. Results of the district's routine 2012 ELL monitoring found that in some cases that the school was not in full compliance with maintaining appropriate documentation and coding, but these discrepancies have been addressed to the satisfaction of district staff and the CRC.

## Human Resources

Instructional staff meets the teacher certification and/or the highly qualified requirements. ESOL and ESE endorsed teachers serve the needs of ELL and ESE students, respectively. As per the 2012-13 Opening of School Checklist, a complete list of SMA employees is on file and all employees have been fingerprinted and have
background screenings on record. SMA's personnel handbook is made available to their employees.

## Business Operations

SMA has submitted documents and evidence that transportation services and food services are consistent with requirements. Evidence of fire, safety and health inspections are on file and meet facilities requirements. Insurance policies are on file documenting active coverage for all of the required areas of insurance.

Future plans for the facilities: Construction is underway on the third phase of SMA's long range expansion and remodeling plan. This phase is scheduled to be completed on 1 August, 2013 and will include a three story, $32,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$. classroom building and counseling and registrar offices. The new 32,000 sq. ft. building will house 23 classrooms and include a music room, art room, computer lab, and counseling and registrar's offices. Because of the generous donation from Mr. and Mrs. Jack Urfer, SMA incurred no additional debt associated with this construction.

## Contract Compliance

The school has a good track record of submitting all required documents, manuals, handbooks, as listed on the Opening of School Checklist and all state-required reports in a timely fashion.

# The School Board of Sarasota County, Florida Sarasota Military Charter School 

School Principal:
School Address:
School Phone
School Web Site
Mr. Daniel Kennedy
801 Orange Avenue Sarasota, FL 34236
(941) 926 -1701
sarasotamilitaryacademy.com

Mr. Daniel Kennedy
801 Orange Avenue Sarasota, FL 34236 sarasotamilitaryacademy.com
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Year School Opened } & 2002 \\ \text { Buildings and Property owned by charter } \\ \text { Free / Reduced Lunch Percentage } & \\ \text { Title One School } & \\ & \end{array}$

Student Enrollment for the Period 2010-2011 through 2017-2018
Historical Student by enroliment by Grade level information (Based upon October of each school year)

| Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 |
| PreKindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Second |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Third |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fourth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fifth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seventh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elighth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ninth | 258 | 267 | 292 | 292 | 292 | 292 | 292 | 292 |
| Tenth | 228 | 224 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277. | 277 |
| Eleventh | 171 | 215 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 |
| Twelfith | 139 | 152 | 178 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Total by Grade | 796 | 858 | 967 | 989 | 988 | 989 | 989 | 989 |
| Studen | y Progra | unded t | ough the | orida E | cation F | ance Pro |  |  |
| Basic Education. | 685 | 748 | 818 | 836 | 836 | 836 | 836 | 836 |
| Dropout Prevention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E.S.O.L. | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Students with Disabilites k-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities 4-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities 9-12 | 74 | 77 | 83 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| ESE Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESE Level 5 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vocational | 12 | 14 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Total Students by Program | 774 | 847 | 925 | 946 | 946 | 946 | 946 | 946 |
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SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY
FCAT MATH
Percent of Students Level 3 and Above
Grades $9-10$
$2001-2011$

Office of Research, Assessment, Evaluation and School Improvement

| School | National Governors Association formula |  | Federal Uniform Graduation Rate formula |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Graduation rate 2009-2010 (percentage) | Graduation rate 2010-2011 (percentage) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Graduation rate } \\ 2009-2010 \\ \text { (percentage) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Graduation rate } \\ \text { 2010-2011 } \\ \text { (percentage) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Graduation rate <br> 2011-2012 <br> (percentage) |
| District Totals | 84.3\% | 83.5\% | 71.4\% | 70.7\% | 78.0\% |
| Sarasota Military Academy | 92.2\% | 94.3\% | 92.2\% | 91.1\% | 95.6\% |
| Riverview High School | 83.0\% | 82.7\% | 73.6\% | 71.0\% | 78.4\% |
| Sarasota High School | 81.5\% | 83.0\% | 70.8\% | 70.5\% | 79.9\% |
| Booker High School | 77.8\% | 74.4\% | 64.5\% | 60.1\% | 68.1\% |

## Sarasota County Public Schools

2012-2013 Charter School Renewal Application Review
The following questions and/or request for additional information is based upon the review of the Charter Renewal Application submitted by the school as well as the current Charter Agreement, results of routine annual monitoring conducted by the district, and other evidence of documentation that the school is in compliance with requirements and policies. Please respond to the Charter Review Committee's questions and submit any additional information or documentation requested.

## Name of Charter School: Sarasota Military Academy (SMA)

Date: 2/8/13
** Please note that because Sarasota Military Academy was scheduled to undergo accreditation renewal this year, the school received approval to submit the application to renew its charter the prior year, in 2011-2012, ahead of the district's planned timeline. Therefore some of the information requested is for the school's current student/teacher demographic information and student achievement data.

| Question or Concern | Location in Renewal Document <br> Or source of information | Additional Information or Documents Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regarding enrollment counts** | Pp 3-5 in app | See updated Section I, pg. 3-5 information |
| Does SMA have plans to expand grade levels <br> or enrollment? |  | Subject to financial requirements, we plan to keep our <br> enrollment at current levels. |
| 2012-13 Governing Board ** | Tab D in app | See updated Board Member List |
| Clarify the ELL services provided to ELL <br> student (reference is to ESE, not ELL) | Pp 3-5 in app | See updated Section I, pg. 3-5 information |
| ESOL Teachers ** <br> No teachers (0 count) are reported as having <br> ESOL endorsement. | P 9 in section 1 | See updated Section V, pg 9 information |
| ELL Requirements <br> In some cases the school is not in full <br> compliance with maintaining appropriate <br> documentation and weighed funded codes <br> do not appear on the student schedules <br> In some cases, lack of evidence that 3-year <br> re-evaluations are conducted and students <br> who exited ESOL are being monitored; | Aistrict ELL monitoring findings <br> August 2012 | Documentation has been filed in the student's individual <br> school records and appropriate entries have been posted <br> to the AS400. |


| SMA's student achievement trends: what are SMA's proficiency and graduation rates over the past 5 years? |  | See attached Updated Section VII, pg 12 information, and FCAT and graduation rate documentation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New construction/building <br> Given that the plans for the new building were only conceptual last year, now that construction has begun: <br> 1) When is the new building scheduled to be complete? <br> 2) How will the new addition/space be used? | Pp 14, section 9 of app | See included Section IX, pg 14 and floor plans. <br> 1. Construction has begun and we are currently on schedule for a projected completion date of 1 August, 2013. <br> 2. The new, 32,000 sq. ft. building, will house 23 classrooms, and include a music room, art room, computer lab, and counseling and registrar's offices. |
| Transportation: <br> What are the school's plans for the bus loop and parent pick up after the new building is complete and occupied? |  | Busses will enter via the South entrance on Lemon Avenue and exit via the South exit onto $8^{\text {th }}$ Street. <br> Parents will enter via the North entrance on Orange Avenue and exit via the North exit onto Lemon Avenue. <br> See included site plan. |

You may respond directly on this Word document or provide a separate document that will be amended to your application. Please respond by February 15, 2013. Please contact Dr. Natalie Roca, Executive Director, Integrated Instructional Services, if you have any questions or need additional time to respond. Dr. Roca may be reached at 941-927-9000, ext. 32250.

School Name: Sarasota Military Academy
School Address: 801 North Orange Ave, Sarasota, FI. 34236
Telephone Number: (941) 926-1700
Fax Number: (941) 926-1701

Number of students per grade level as of the October 2012 Survey period:

| GRADE LEVEL | NUMBER OF STUDENTS | ROOMS / Sections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshmen | 287 | $5 / 65$ |
| Sophomores | 276 | $4 / 35$ |
| Juniors | 215 | $3 / 30$ |
| Seniors | 176 | $6 / 32$ |
| Multi Grade | NA | $27-165$ |

Number of ESE students and services provided as of the October 2012 Survey period:

| GRADE LEVEL | NUMBER OF STUDENTS | SERVICES PROVIDED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshmen | 41 | Speech/language, small group <br> instruction, consultation, <br> counseling as a related <br> service and appropriate <br> interventions as referenced <br> on the student's IEP |
| Sophomores | 24 | 14 |
| Juniors | 21 | Seniors |

Number of ELL students and services provided as of the October 2012 Survey period:

| GRADE LEVEL | NUMBER OF STUDENTS | SERVICES PROVIDED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshmen | 13 | ESE Services as appropriate, <br> modified curriculum, oral <br> strategies, word |
| Sophomores | 5 | pronunciation and meaning, <br> whole language approach, <br> and study habit enforcement |
| Juniors | 7 | 3 |


|  | NUMBER OF STUDENTS |
| :---: | :---: |
| SCTI Part Time | 20 |
| SCF Part Time | 27 |
| SCF Full Time | 19 |

Ethnicity, socioeconomic status and gender composition of school for 2012-2013 school year:

|  | Freshmen | Sophomores | Juniors | Seniors | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 105 | 112 | 92 | 66 | 375 |
| Male | 181 | 163 | 125 | 110 | 579 |
| White | 223 | 217 | 166 | 144 | 750 |
| African Am. | 10 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 40 |
| Asian | 7 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 18 |
| Hispanic | 36 | 27 | 28 | 15 | 106 |
| Native AM. | 10 | 9 | 13 | 8 | 40 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Free or Reduced | 96 | 85 | 68 | 50 | 299 |

## The School Board of Sarasota County, Florida Sarasota Military Charter School

## Student Enrollment

Historical Student by enrollment by Grade level information (Based upon October of each school year)

| Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | 2008-2009 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| PreKindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Second |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Third |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fourth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fifth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seventh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eighth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ninth | 218 | 254 | 258 | 267 | 270 | 270 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Tenth | 178 | 188 | 228 | 224 | 260 | 260 | 260 | 260 | 260 |
| Eleventh | 126 | 180 | 171 | 215 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Twelfth | 92 | 111 | 139 | 152 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Total by Grade | 614 | 713 | 796 | 858 | 940 | 940 | 940 | 940 | 940 |
| Students by Program funded through the Florida Education Finance Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic Education | 499 | 600 | 685 | 721 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 |
| E.S.O.L. |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Students with Disabilities k-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities 9-12 | 83 | 82 | 74 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| ESE Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESE Level 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vocational | 9 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Total Students by Program | 591 | 694 | 774 | 822 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 |



## Sarasota Military Academy

## Board Members' Information

LTG Howard G. Crowell, Jr., USA (Ret)
Chairman
3970 Prairie Dunes Drive
Sarasota, FL 34238-2818
H: 941-924-2523 or 941-552-5301
C: 941-400-0713
F: 941-552-5364 H Fax: 941-929-7408
howsal1@verizon.net
Herb Jones
Vice Chairman \& Audit Committee Chair 4274 Boca Pointe Drive
Sarasota, FL 34238-5574
H: 941-925-9435
C: 941-320-7784
F: 941925-9744
HRjones05@verizon.net

## Woody Wolverton

Development Committee Chair
1226 N. Tamiami Trail, Suite 201
Sarasota, FL 34236-2461
W: 941-366-5443
C: 941-228-8083
wolvertonw@stifel.com

## Dan Kennedy

Headmaster
2352 Burton Lane
Sarasota, FL 34239-4116
H: 941-955-2572
W: 941-926-1700, ext 223
C: 941-812-5406
F: 941-926-1701
Daniel Kennedy@sarasota.k12.fl.us
BG Frank Laudano, FLARNG
Commandant of Cadets, Finance Manager
4512 Spring Flower Court
Sarasota, FL 34233-2279
H: 941-927-2125
W: 941-926-1700, ext 285
C: 321-689-7653 (military cell; private)
F: 941-926-1701
Frank Laudano@sarasota.k12.fl.us

## Frederick M. Derr

Treasurer
3801 Orange Avenue, North
Sarasota, FL 34236-4755
W: 941-355-8575 Ex. 226
F: 941-351-8854
fred@frederickderrcompany.com

## Alexandra Quarles

1515 S. Osprey Ave.
Suite B-4
Sarasota, FI. 34239
H: 941-927-0376
C: 941-374-9236
Aqua108@verizon.net
Mr. F. Steve Herb
2070 Ringling Boulevard
Sarasota, FL 34237-7002
H: 941-349-6126
W: 941-366-7550
C: 941-780-4804
F: 941-955-3708
sherb@nelsonhesse.com
COL Benjamin M. Knisely, (Ret)
Planning Committee Chair
2030 Scarlett Avenue
North Port, FL 34289-9488
C: 941-416-5306
inspgfla@aol.com

## Section V. Teacher Qualifications

The requirements for certain teachers changed in 2002 with the passage of the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB). The NCLB requires charter school teachers assigned to teach core academic subject areas (i.e., English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography) to be "highly qualified." To be "highly qualified," a teacher assigned to teach a core academic subject area must hold a bachelor's degree and demonstrate competency in each area of assignment

In addition, all teachers must be certified to teach in the areas of their assignments. Please submit the following information about your current 2012-2013 instructional staff:

| Subject <br> Area | NUMBER OF HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS | NUMBER OF TEACHERS OUT-OF- FIELD | NUMBER OF TEACHERS WITH ESE CERTIFICATION | NUMBEROF TEACHERS WITH ELL ENDORSEMENT | NUMBER OF TEACHERS WITH READING ENDORSEMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER } \\ & \text { OF AP } \\ & \text { TEACHERS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | 9 |  | $\begin{gathered} 1-\mathrm{VE} \\ 2-\mathrm{SLD} \end{gathered}$ | 5 | $3 \text { - English }$ | 2 |
| Math | 7 |  |  | 6 |  | 1 |
| Science | 5 |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |
| Social Science | 5 |  | $2-\mathrm{ESE}$ | 4 | 1 - ESE Coordinator | 3 |
| Foreign Lang | 4 |  | 1 - MH | 2 |  |  |
| Arts |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

- $\underline{\mathbf{2}}$ Instructors with Doctorates
- $\mathbf{2 5}$ Instructors with Masters
- $\quad \underline{47}$ Full-Time Instructors
- 13 Part-Time Instructors
- If there are grade levels without teachers holding credentials to provide ESE, ELL or specialized Reading services, how are students in those grade levels accommodated?

All students requiring ESE certified teachers are assigned accordingly. There are also daily classroom accommodations made based on the student's IEP or 504 plans. Additionally, an ESE resource room is made available for students requiring special assistance on an unannounced basis.

- Describe your process for dealing with teachers who are considered "out-of-field".

1. The Headmaster sends out a letter to the parents of any student taught by an out-of-field teacher.
2. The Headmaster sends the teacher to Human Resources at the Landings to find out exactly what they need to do to achieve certification by the Florida Department of Education.
3. If the employee does not complete all certification requirements within the three year period, the employment relationship will end and the school will look to hire a replacement.

## Section VII. Student Achievement

## Please provide the following information:

- Please attach a copy of your latest School Improvement Plan
- Please describe any discrepancies in goals between the School Improvement Plan and the Charter goals.

No discrepancies are noted between goals in the current and previous School Improvement Plans and Charter Goals as stated in the original 2002 SMA charter.

- Please explain any instructional methodologies that are critical to the success of your program goals.

Administration, faculty and staff have been diligent in the analysis of all FCAT scores since the inception of SMA. Due to this continuous focus on student achievement, the following research-based instructional methodologies have been implemented and have been successful for increasing student achievement:

School-wide literacy component is utilized by EVERY faculty and staff member. This strategy has been ongoing since school-year 2009-2010 with marked improvement noted. The literacy strategies are research-based and PD activities were provided to ALL faculty and staff. The component is also listed in the School Improvement Plan. Every faculty and staff member creates two Professional Development Plans for the school year; one directly related to literacy and the other focused on their own professional growth within their content.
Differentiation of instruction is also utilized in every classroom. Professional development is provided to all faculty and staff members. This is documented through lesson plans, faculty observations, walkthroughs and team meetings. Differentiation of instruction has been utilized since school-year 2009-2010 and has also shown marked improvement in FCAT scores. This component is listed in the School Improvement Plan.
KAGAN professional development was offered in the Fall of the 2012-2013 instructional year. Research supports the use of KAGAN instructional approaches as positively impacting student achievement. Eight instructors attended the professional development with ongoing reviews with the team. SMA plans to continue the professional development offerings for KAGAN and monitor its impact on student achievement. The use of KAGAN is documented in walkthroughs and faculty observations. This component is listed in the School Improvement Plan.
On-going professional discussions with teams regarding implementation of Algebra, Biology, Geometry and US History End of Course Exams and their components.
Purchase and use of Study Island which provides an additional resource for all content areas, especially those that experience End of Course Exams. This component is listed in the School Improvement Plan.
Attendance and Truancy Procedures and Policies were also revised starting the 2009-2010 schoolyear. The policies follow the Sarasota School District with additional restrictive items. A Truancy Officer also works closely with the Attendance Clerk and attendance rates have been positively impacted as well. This component is listed in the School Improvement Plan.

Implementation of MTSS/RtI provides instructional methodologies that better enhance student achievement and provides appropriate intervention strategies for students. This component is listed in the School Improvement Plan.
Instructional Focus Calendars were required for all faculty members and on file with appropriate administrators. This was implemented in 2009-2010 and is ongoing. Core Strands will evolve in content areas as well. Faculty members are also required to complete and turn in a syllabus for each class. Instructional Focus Calendars allowed the instructional staff to delete any gaps that may have occurred previously and provided more appropriate pacing of instruction. Marzano book studies will begin for the 2013-2014 school year. Marzano provides research-based methods for faculty and staff and supports newly implemented evaluation processes as well.

- In any area in which there is needed improvement, please highlight the strategies in place to remediate problems or strengthen achievement.

SMA experienced a drop in its school grade for the 2008-2009 school-year. In recognition of this drop in school grade for one year, the administrative team, faculty and staff analyzed the data and determined a slight drop in achievement for the economically disadvantaged students. In accordance with this discovery, the above instructional methodologies were implemented and monitored.

## FI RIDA SCHO LIGRADES

You selected:
District: SARASOTA
Years: 2010-2011, 2009-2010, 2008-2009, 2007-2008, 2006-2007, 2005-2006, 2004-2005, 2003-2004, 2002-2003
School Grades:
Report Type: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
e. Modify Selections | Return to Summary Reports | Return to List of Schools | Go

| 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Report - Page 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sarasota SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY 0074 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N Click here to | Number of students enrolled in the grades tested: |  |  |  |  |  |  | ]ted: | Read: 467 <br> Math: 218 |  | 2010-2011 <br> School Grade ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  | Did the School make Adequate Yearly Progress? |  |  | NO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This section shows the percent tested and performance for each group used to determine AYP (Parts a and $c^{2}$ ). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | This section shows the improvement for each group used to determine AYP via safe harbor (Part $b^{2}$ ). |  |  |  |  |  | This section shows the percent of students "on track" to be proficient used to determine AYP via the growth model. |  |  |  |
| Group | Reading Tested 95\% of the students? |  | Math Tested 95\% of the students? |  | 79\% scoring at or above grade level in Reading? |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 80 \% \\ \text { scoring } \\ \text { at or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { grade } \\ \text { level in } \\ \text { Math? } \end{gathered}$ |  | Improved performance in Writing by $1 \%$ ? |  |  | Increased Graduation Rate ${ }^{3}$ by $2 \%$ ? |  |  | Percent of Students below grade level in Reading |  | Safe <br> Harbor <br> Reading | Percent of Students below grade level in Math |  |  | $\%$ of <br> students <br> on track <br> to be <br> proficient <br> in <br> reading | Growth model reading | \% of students on track to be proficient in math | Growth model math |
|  | 2011 | Y/N | 2011 | Y/N | 2011 | Y/N | 2011 | Y/N | 2010 | 2011 | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ | 2009 | 2010 | Y/N | 2010 | 2011 | Y/N | 2010 | 2011 | Y/N | 2011 | Y/N | 2011 | Y/N |
| TOTAL ${ }^{4}$ | 100 | $Y$ | 100 | $Y$ | 56 | N | 82 | $Y$ |  |  | $Y$ | 89 | 92 | $Y$ | 41 | 44 | N | 19 | 18 | NA | 54 | N | 85 | NA |
| WHITE | 100 | $Y$ | 99 | $Y$ | 58 | N | 83 | Y |  |  | $Y$ | 90 |  | $Y$ | 39 | 42 | N | 16 | 17 | NA | 57 | N | 87 | NA |
| BLACK |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| HISPANIC | 100 | Y |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| ASIAN |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| AMERICAN INDIAN |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 100 | $Y$ | 100 | $Y$ | 49 | N | 78 | N |  |  | $Y$ |  |  | NA | 52 | 51 | N | 25 | 22 | $Y$ | 48 | N | 78 | NA |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| STUDENTS WTH DISABILITIES | 100 | $Y$ |  | A |  | NA |  | A |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| KEY: | Econo ELL . <br> SWD | mica <br> Engli <br> - Stu | ally Dis lish La udents | sadv angua with | vantage age Le Disab | ed - <br> earne <br> bilitie | Eligibl <br> ers <br> $s$ | e for | free o | or redu | ced pri | lunch |  |  | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ - <br> Yes or NA - <br> stude or the | Was t or No is show ents in data | the requir <br> wn when the group are not a |  | get met? <br> umber <br> ss than ble. | et? <br> of $\ln 30^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Under Florida's AYP plan, schools with a grade of D or F cannot be designated as making AYP. <br> ${ }^{2}$ The "part" designations used in this table correspond to the three main paragraphs in the Federal regulations for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2002. The regulations, effective January 2, 2004, were published in the Federal Register, Vol, 67, No. 231, on December 2, 2003. Sections 200.13-200.21 describe the indicators to be collected and how to determine AYP. <br> ${ }^{3}$ The school-wide data for writing and graduation rate are used in Part a. Any group not meeting the reading or mathematics targets under Part a is reviewed in Part b-Safe Harbor. When the writing percent proficient is $>90$ or the graduation rate is $>85$, increases are not required. <br> 4 If the total number of students in a school is greater than ten, adequate yearly progress for the school will be determined; however, a minimum of 30 students and represents more than $15 \%$ of the school's tested population or 100 students is required for each group within a school. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: In order to maintain the anonymity and privacy of students, proficiency data is not displayed for values <=5\% and >=95\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Tested and Proficiency Levels (Part a and $c^{\mathbf{2}}$ ): A school or s each group is tested, if each group meets the proficiency targets in read school-wide writing percent proficient and graduation rate increases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | chool ing and | district d math | makes ematic | YP if 95 and if $t$ | 5\% of he |  | Safe Harbor (Part b ${ }^{2}$ ): If any group in Part a does not meet the proficiency target, the percentage of students in that group who are below the proficiency target in reading or mathematics should be reduced by at least $10 \%$. That group also must make progress in writing proficiency and graduation rate. No group is eligible for Safe Harbor if the school fails to meet participation criteria for all subgroups and the writing. graduation rate, and school grade criteria for the school in total. <br> Growth Model: If any group does not meet the proficiency and safe harbor requirements, the percentage of students in that group who are on track to be proficient within three years should be 79\% for reading and $80 \%$ for math. That group must also make progress in writing proficiency and graduation rate. No group is eligible for the Growth Model if the school fails to meet participation criteria for all subgroups and the writing, graduation rate, and school grade criteria for the school in total. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Guides to the Calculations

- 2012 School Grades Guide Sheet (PDF)
- School Grades Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)
- Allernative School Improvement Ratings Technical Assistance Paper 2011.12
- Annual Measurable Obiectives (AMOs) Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)

High School Components

Grade Level Details| Back to Selected Schools | New Query Go

| Sarasota School District SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Graduation Rate: Overall | Graduation Rate: At-Risk | Acceleration | Acceleration Performance | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Readiness } \\ \hline \text { Reading } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\frac{\text { Readiness }}{\text { Math }}$ | HS Component Points Earned |  |
| Previous Year | 92 | 85 | 32 | 82 | 76 | 58 |  |  |
| Current Year | 94 | 82 | 45 | 74 | 85 | 62 |  |  |
| Bonus/Deduction | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 4 |  |  |
| Points Earned | 192 | 82 | 102 | 93 | 94 | 66 | 629 |  |
| Points for FCAT Components |  | oints for omponents | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \text { FCAT Points } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{ng}(\%)}{\text { IHS Points }}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adequate Pr } \\ & \text { for At-Risk? } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ogress } \\ & (\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}) \end{aligned}$ | Final Grade |
| 523 |  | 629 | 50/ |  | 1.152 | YES |  | B |

* Schools that serve high school grade levels will receive a grade based on a weighting of FCAT-based components and non-FCAT-based components proportional to the number and level of non-high-school grades taught at the school at tested grade levels. Please see the 2010-2011 School Grades Technical Assistance Paper (http://schoolgrades.fldoe.org/pdf/1011/SchoolGradesTAP2011.pdf) or page 2 of the guide sheet at http://schoolgrades.fldoe.org/pdf/1011/Guidesheet2011SchoolGrades.pdf'> for additional information.

Guides to the Calculations

- 2012 School Grades Guide Sheet (PDF)
- School Grades Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)
- Alternative School Improvement Ratings Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)
- Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)


## FLORIDA SCHO LIGADES

SYear: 2010-2011,2009-2010,2008-2009,2007-2008,2006-2007,2005-2006,2004-2005,2003-2004

## You selected:

District: SARASOTA
Years: 2010-2011, 2009-2010, 2008-2009, 2007-2008, 2006-2007, 2005-2006, 2004-2005, 20032004
School Grades:
Report Type: Report Card

- Modify Selections |

Return to List of Schools | Go

Microsoft OLE DB Provider for ODBC Drivers error '80004005'
[NEON][SCOD32.DLL][DB2]Session start failed
/Code_Library/Functions_Data.asp, line 88

| 2010-2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY (74) SARASOTA (58) <br> 801 N ORANGE AVE, SARASOTA, FL 34236-4116 <br> School Phone: 941-926-1700, Principal: DANIEL KENNEDY |  |  |
| Subject | State of Florida A+ <br> Plan | B <br> School Grade |
| This grade is calculated <br> by adding points earned <br> from each of the <br> performance areas below. | This percent is based on a total of 39 child Left Behind Act |  |
| every school must meet, if applicable. |  |  |

School Accountability Report .

| Math | progress in math - 74\% of struggling students making a year's worth of progress in math |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Writing | - 74\% of students are meeting state standards in writing. | This school has met this criteria. |
| Science | - $50 \%$ of students at or above grade level in Science. |  |
| Retakes |  |  |
| Possible Choice Options | - Your child is not eligible for an opportunity scholarship for public school choice under the A+ Plan. <br> - SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY has not met federal adequate yearly progress under No Child Left Behind because it needs improvement in one or more areas. Because this is not a Title I school, your student is not eligible for school choice options under No Child Left Behind. <br> - Contact your district office at 941-927-9000 for other choice options available to you. |  |
| **Title I refers to the federal law that provides funding for low-income students. A school is eligible for Title I status when at least $35 \%$ (targeted assistance) or $40 \%$ (school wide) of its students qualify for free or reduced-price lunch based on their families' income levels. |  |  |

## FIDRIDA SCHOVIGRADES

## You selected:

District: SARASOTA
Years: 2010-2011, 2009-2010, 2008-2009, 2007-2008, 2006-2007, 2005-2006, 2004-2005, 2003-2004, 2002-2003
School Grades:
Report Type: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

- Modify Selections |. Return to Summary Reports | Return to List of Schools | Go

| 2009-2010 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Report - Page 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sarasota SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY 0074 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Click here to see Number of students in each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Read: 426 <br> Math: 427 |  | 2009-2010 <br> School Grade ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  | Did the School make Adequate Yearly Progress? |  |  | YES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This section shows the percent tested and performance for each group used to determine AYP (Parts a and $c^{2}$ ). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | This section shows the improvement for each group used to determine AYP via safe harbor (Part $b^{2}$ ). |  |  |  |  |  | This section shows the percent of students "on track" to be proficient used to determine AYP via the growth model. |  |  |  |
| Group | Reading Tested 95\% of the students? |  | Math <br> Tested 95\% of the students? |  | 72\% scoring at or above grade level in Reading? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 74\% } \\ & \text { scoring } \\ & \text { at or } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { grade } \\ & \text { level in } \\ & \text { Math? } \end{aligned}$ |  | Improved performance in Writing by $1 \%$ ? |  |  | Increased Graduation Rate ${ }^{3}$ by $2 \%$ ? |  |  | Percent of Students below grade level in Reading |  | Safe <br> Harbor <br> Reading | Percent of Students below grade level in Math |  |  | \% of students on track to be proficient in reading | Growth model reading | \% of students on track to be proficient in math |  |
|  | 2010 | Y/N | 2010 | Y/N | 2010 | Y/N | 2010 | Y/N | 2009 | 2010 | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ | 2008 | 2009 | Y/N | 2009 | 2010 | $Y / \mathrm{N}$ | 2009 | 2010 | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ | 2010 | Y/N | 2010 | Y/N |
| TOTAL ${ }^{4}$ | 100 | $Y$ | 100 | $Y$ | 59 | N | 81 | $Y$ |  |  | $Y$ | 90 | 89 | $Y$ | 50 | 41 | $Y$ | 23 | 19 | NA | 60 | NA | 83 | NA |
| WHITE | 100 | $Y$ | 100 | $Y$ | 61 | N | 84 | $Y$ |  |  | $Y$ | 91 | 90 | $Y$ | 47 | 39 | $Y$ | 21 | 16 | NA | 62 | NA | 87 | NA |
| BLACK |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| HISPANIC | 100 | $Y$ | 100 | $Y$ |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| ASIAN |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| AMERICAN INDIAN |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 100 | $Y$ | 100 | $Y$ | 48 | N | 75 | $Y$ | 90 |  | $Y$ |  |  | NA | 64 | 52 | $Y$ | 30 | 25 | NA | 48 | NA | 73 | NA |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STUDENTS WITH } \\ & \text { DISABILITIES } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 100 | $Y$ | 100 | $Y$ |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| KEY: | Econo ELL SWD | omica <br> Engl <br> - Stu | ally Dis lish La udents | sadva <br> angua <br> with | vantag age Le Disab |  | Eligibl <br> rs <br> s | e for | free or | or redu | ced pri | e lunch |  |  | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ - <br> Yes or NA - <br> stude or the | Was No is show nts in data | the requir <br> wn when the group are not ap | ed targ <br> the nu <br> is les pplicab | get men <br> umber <br> ss than ble. | t? <br> of $30^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |

[^0] 2002. The regulations, effective January 2, 2004, were published in the Federal Register, Vol. 67, No. 231, on December 2, 2003, Sections 200.13-200.21 describe the indicators to be



Safe Harbor (Part $\mathrm{b}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ): If any group in Part a does not meet the proficiency target, the percentage of students in that group who are below the proficiency target in reading or mathematics should be reduced by at least $10 \%$. That group also must make progress in writing proficiency and graduation rate. No group is eligible for Safe Harbor if the school fails to meet
participation criteria for all subgroups and the writing, graduation rate, and school grade criteria for the school in total.

Data provided by the Florida Department of Education, August 2010. Subject to review and verification by local school district staff

## Guides to the Calculations

- 2012 School Grades Guide Sheet (PDF)
- School Grades Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)
- Alternative School Improvement Ratings Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12

PPDFI

- Annual Measurable Obiectives (AMOs) Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)


High School Components
Grade Level Details| Back to Selected Schools | New Query Go

| Sarasota School District SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Graduation Rate: Overall |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \frac{\text { Graduation }}{} \\ \text { Rate: At-Risk } \end{array}$ | Acceleration | Acceleration Performance | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \frac{\text { Readiness }}{R} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\frac{\text { Readiness }}{\text { Math }}$ | HS Component Points Earned |  |
| Previous Year | 90 |  | 79 | 31 | 99 | 69 | 60 |  |  |
| Current Year | 92 |  | 85 | 32 | 82 | 76 | 58 |  |  |
| Bonus/Deduction | 2 |  | 6 | 1 | -5 | 7 | 0 |  |  |
| Points Earned | 188 |  | 91 | 66 | 77 | 83 | 58 | 563 |  |
| Points for FCAT Components |  | Points for HS Components |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weighting (\%) } \\ & \text { FCAT Points / HS Points } \end{aligned}$ |  | Points Earned | Adequate Progress for At-Risk? (Y/N) |  | Final Grade |
| 568 |  | 563 |  | 50/50 |  | 1,131 | YES |  | A |

* Schools that serve high school grade levels will receive a grade based on a weighting of FCAT-based components and non-FCAT-based components proportional to the number and level of non-high-school grades taught at the school at tested grade levels. Please see the 2010-2011 School Grades Technical Assistance Paper (http://schoolgrades.fldoe.org/pdf/1011/SchoolGradesTAP2011.pdf) or page 2 of the guide sheet at http://schoolgrades.fldoe.org/pdf/1011/Guidesheet2011SchoolGrades.pdf'> for additional information.

Guides to the Calculations

- 2012 School Grades Guide Sheet (PDF)
- School Grades Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)
- Alternative School Improvement Ratings Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)
- Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)


## FLORIDA SCHO LIGRADES

```
You selected:
    District: SARASOTA
    Years: 2010-2011, 2009-2010, 2008-2009, 2007-2008, 2006-2007, 2005-2006, 2004-2005, 2003-2004, 2002-2003
    School Grades:
    Report Type: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
```

Modify Selections Return to Summary Reports | Return to List of Schools, Go

${ }^{1}$ Under Florida's AYP plan, schools with a grade of D or F cannot be designated as making AYP.

 collected and how to determine AYP.
 the writing percent proficient is $>90$ or the graduation rate is $>85$, increases are not required.
 $15 \%$ of the school's tested population or 100 students is required for each group within a school.
Note: All percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number. The $Y$ and $N$ designations indicate if there was a $1 \%$ increase.
Note: In order to maintain the anonymity and privacy of students, proficiency data is not displayed for values $<=5 \%$ and $>=95 \%$

Percent Tested and Proficiency Levels (Part a and $c^{2}$ ): A school or school district makes AYP if 95\% of each group is tested, if each group meets the proficiency targets in reading and mathematics, and if the school-wide writing percent proficient and graduation rate increases.

Safe Harbor (Part b ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ): If any group in Part a does not meet the proficiency target, the percentage of students in that group who are below the proficiency target in reading or mathematics should be reduced by at least 10\%. Any subgroup is eligible for Safe Harbor as long as the school meets participation criteria for all subgroups and the subgroup meets the participation, writing, and graduation requirement.

Growth Model: If any group does not meet the proficiency and safe harbor requirements, the percentage of students in that group who are on track to be proficient within three years should be 65\% for reading and $68 \%$ for math. Any subgroup is eligible for the Growth Model as long as the school meets participation criteria for all subgroups and the subgroup meets the participation, writing, and graduation requirement.

## FIORIDA SCHO IGRADECS

## You selected:

District: SARASOTA
Years: 2010-2011, 2009-2010, 2008-2009, 2007-2008, 2006-2007, 2005-2006, 2004-2005, 2003-2004, 2002-2003
School Grades:
Report Type: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

- Modify Selections | Return to Summary Reports | Return to List of Schools| Go

2007-2008 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Report - Page 2

| Number of students enrolled in the grades tested: | Read: 293 | 2007-2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Click here to see Number of students in each group | Math: 294 | School Grade ${ }^{1}$ : | в |

Sarasota SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY 0074

| Did the School |
| :--- |
| make Adequate | Yearly Progress?

This section shows the

This section shows the percent and $c^{2}$ ).

| Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mine | AYP via |  |  | $r \text { (Part }$ | proficient AYP via the | used the grow | o determin <br> th model. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading Tested 95\% of the students? |  | Math <br> Tested 95\% of the students? |  | $58 \%$ <br> scoring <br> at or <br> above <br> grade <br> level in <br> Reading? |  | 62\% <br> scoring at or above grade level in Math? |  | Improved performance in Writing by $1 \%$ ? |  |  | Increased Graduation Rate ${ }^{3}$ by $1 \%$ ? |  |  | Percent of Students below grade level in Reading |  | Safe Harbor Reading | Percent of Students below grade level in Math |  | Safe Harbor Math | $\%$ of <br> students <br> on track <br> to be <br> proficient <br> in <br> reading | Growth model reading | \% of students on track to be proficient in math | Growth model math |
|  | 2008 | Y/N | 2008 | Y/N | 2008 | Y/N | 2008 | Y/N | 2007 | 2008 | Y/N | 2006 | 2007 | Y/N | 2007 | 2008 | Y/N | 2007 | 2008 | Y/N | 2008 | Y/N | 2008 | Y/N |
| TOTAL ${ }^{4}$ | 99 | $Y$ | 100 | $Y$ | 58 | $Y$ | 75 | $Y$ |  | 86 | N | 78 | 89 | $Y$ | 58 | 42 | NA | 31 | 25 | NA | 59 | NA | 83 | NA |
| WHITE | 99 | $Y$ | 100 | $Y$ | 60 | $Y$ | 77 | Y |  | 87 | N | 78 | 92 | $Y$ | 56 | 40 | NA | 28 | 23 | NA | 61 | NA | 84 | NA |
| BLACK |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| HISPANIC |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| ASIAN |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| AMERICAN INDIAN |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 100 | Y | 100 | Y | 45 | N | 61 | N |  |  | NA |  |  | NA | 69 | 55 | NA | 49 | 39 | NA | 51 | NA | 74 | NA |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| STUDENTS WTH DISABILITIES | 100 | Y | 100 | $Y$ | 38 | N | 56 | N |  |  | NA |  |  | NA | 75 | 62 | NA | 42 | 44 | NA | 42 | NA | 68 | NA |
| KEY: | Econo <br> ELL - <br> SWD | $\begin{gathered} \text { omica } \\ \text { Engli } \\ \text { - Stu } \end{gathered}$ | ally Dis lish La dents |  |  |  | Eligible <br> rs | e for | free o | or reduc |  | e lunch |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y/N } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { NA. } \\ & \text { stude } \\ & \text { or the } \end{aligned}$ | Was No is show nts in data | the require <br> wn when <br> the group are not ap |  | get me <br> mber ss than ble. | of $30^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Under Florida's AYP plan, schools with a grade of D or F cannot be designated as making AYP
${ }^{2}$ The "part" designations used in this table correspond to the three main paragraphs in the Federal regulations for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2002. The regulations, effective January 2, 2004, were published in the Federal Register, Vol. 67, No, 231, on December 2, 2003. Sections 200.13-200.21 describe the indicators to be collected and how to determine AYP.
${ }^{3}$ The school-wide data for writing and graduation rate are used in Part a. Any group not meeting the reading or mathematics targets under Part a is reviewed in Part b-Safe Harbor. When the writing percent proficient is $>90$ or the graduation rate is $>85$, increases are not required.
${ }^{4}$ If the total number of students in a school is greater than ten, adequate yearly progress for the school will be determined; however, a minimum of 30 students and represents more than $15 \%$ of the school's tested population or 100 students is required for each group within a school.
Note: All percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number. The $Y$ and $N$ designations indicate if there was a $1 \%$ increase.
Note: In order to maintain the anonymity and privacy of students, proficiency data is not displayed for values $<=5 \%$ and $>=95 \%$

Percent Tested and Proficiency Levels (Part a and $\mathrm{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ): A school or school district makes AYP if 95\% of each group is tested, if each group meets the proficiency targets in reading and mathematics, and if the school-wide writing percent proficient and graduation rate increases.

Safe Harbor (Part b ${ }^{2}$ ): If any group in Part a does not meet the proficiency target, the percentage of students in that group who are below the proficiency target in reading or mathematics should be reduced by at least $10 \%$. That group also must make progress in writing proficiency and graduation rate. No group is eligible for Safe Harbor if the school fails to meet participation criteria for all subgroups and the writing, graduation rate, and school grade criteria for the school in total.

Data provided by the Florida Department of Education, August 2008. Subject to review and verification by local school district staff.

## Guides to the Calculation

- 2012 School Grades Guide Sheet (PDF)
- School Grades Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)
- Alternative School Improvement Ratings Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)
- Annual Measurable Obiectives (AMO5) Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)


## FIORIDA SCHO LGRADES

You selected:
District: SARASOTA
Years: 2010-2011, 2009-2010, 2008-2009, 2007-2008, 2006-2007, 2005-2006, 2004-2005, 2003-2004, 2002-2003
School Grades:
Report Type: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

- Modify Selections | Return to Summary Reports | Return to List of Schools Go

| 2006-2007 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Report - Page 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sarasota SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY 0074 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Click here to see Number of students in each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sted: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Read: } 273 \\ & \text { Math: } 273 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2006-2007 \\ & \text { School Grade } \end{aligned}$ |  | B |  | Did the School make Adequate Yearly Progress? |  |  | NO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This section shows the percent tested and performance for each group used to determine AYP (Parts a and $c^{2}$ ). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | This section shows the improvement for each group used to determine AYP via safe harbor (Part $b^{2}$ ). |  |  |  |  |  | This section shows the percent of students "on track" to be proficient used to determine AYP via the growth model. |  |  |  |
| Group | Reading Tested 95\% of the students? |  | Math <br> Tested 95\% of the students? |  | 51\% scoring at or above grade level in Reading? |  | 56\% scoring at or above grade level in Math? |  | Improved performance in Writing by $1 \%$ ? |  |  | Increased Graduation Rate ${ }^{3}$ by $1 \%$ ? |  |  | Percent of Students below grade level in Reading |  | Safe Harbor Reading | Percent of Students below grade level in Math |  |  | \% of students on track to be proficient in reading | Growth model reading | \% of students on track to be proficient in math | Growth model math |
|  | 2007 | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ | 2007 | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ | 2007 | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ | 2007 | Y/N | 2006 | 2007 | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ | 2005 | 2006 | Y/N | 2006 | 2007 | Y/N | 2006 | 2007 | Y/N | 2007 | Y/N | 2007 | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ |
| TOTAL ${ }^{4}$ | 98 | $Y$ | 98 | $Y$ | 42 | N | 69 | $Y$ |  |  | $Y$ | 81 | 78 | N | 55 | 58 | NA | 23 | 31 | NA | 48 | NA | 74 | NA |
| WHITE | 98 | $Y$ | 98 | $Y$ | 44 | N | 72 | Y |  |  | Y | 83 | 78 | N | 53 | 56 | NA | 21 | 28 | NA | 50 | NA | 75 | NA |
| BLACK |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA | 75 | 60 | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| HISPANIC |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA | 86 |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| ASIAN |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| AMERICAN INDIAN |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 99 | $Y$ | 99 | $Y$ | 31 | N | 51 | N |  |  | NA | 80 | 79 | NA |  | 69 | NA | 45 | 49 | NA | 40 | NA | 60 | NA |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |  | NA | 67 |  | NA |  |  | NA |  |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |
| STUDENTS WTH DISABILITIES | 100 | $Y$ | 100 | $Y$ | 25 | N | 58 | $Y$ |  |  | NA | 83 | 67 | NA | 73 | 75 | NA | 39 | 42 | NA | 31 | NA | 69 | NA |
| KEY: | Econ ELL - <br> SWD | omica <br> Englis <br> - Stu | ally Dis lish La udents | isadv angua with | vantag age Le Disab | ed - E eame bilities | Eligibl <br> ers <br> s | le for | free or | or redu | $\text { aced } p$ | lunch |  |  | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ - <br> Yes or NA stude or the | Was th <br> No <br> is show <br> nts in <br> data | the requir <br> wn when <br> the group are not ap | red tar <br> the nu <br> $p$ is les pplicab | get m <br> umber ss than ble. | of $n 30^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Under Florida's AYP plan, schools with a grade of D or F cannot be designated as making AYP.
${ }^{2}$ The "part" designations used in this table correspond to the three main paragraphs in the Federal regulations for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2002. The regulations, effective January 2, 2004, were published in the Federal Register, Vol. 67, No. 231, on December 2, 2003. Sections 200.13-200.21 describe the indicators to be collected and how to determine AYP.
${ }^{3}$ The school-wide data for writing and graduation rate are used in Part a. Any group not meeting the reading or mathematics targets under Part a is reviewed in Part b-Safe Harbor. When the writing percent proficient is $>90$ or the graduation rate is $>85$, increases are not required.
${ }^{4}$ If the total number of students in a school is greater than ten, adequate yearly progress for the school will be determined; however, a minimum of 30 students and represents more than $15 \%$ of the school's tested population or 100 students is required for each group within a school.
Note: All percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number. The $Y$ and $N$ designations indicate if there was a $1 \%$ increase.
Note: In order to maintain the anonymity and privacy of students, proficiency data is not displayed for values $<=5 \%$ and $>=95 \%$

Percent Tested and Proficiency Levels (Part a and $\mathrm{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ): A school or school district makes AYP if 95\% of Peach group is tested, if each group meets the proficiency targets in reading and mathematics, and if the school-wide writing percent proficient and graduation rate increases.

Safe Harbor (Part b ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ): If any group in Part a does not meet the proficiency target, the percentage of students in that group who are below the proficiency target in reading or mathematics should be reduced by at least $10 \%$. That group also must make progress in writing proficiency and graduation rate. No group is eligible for Safe Harbor if the school fails to meet participation criteria for all subgroups and the writing, graduation rate, and school grade criteria for the school in grade
total.

Data provided by the Florida Department of Education, August 2007. Subject to review and verification by local school district staff.

## Guides to the Calculations

- 2012 School Grades Guide Sheet (PDF)
- School Grades Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)
- Alternative School Improvement Ratings Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 PDF
- Annual Measurable Obiectives (AMOs) Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)

Growth Model: If any group does not meet the proficiency and safe harbor requirements, the percentage of students in that group who are on track to be proficient within three years should be $51 \%$ for reading and $56 \%$ for math. That group must also make progress in writing proficiency and graduation rate. No group is eligible for the Growth Model if the school fails to meet participation criteria for all subgroups and the writing, graduation rate, and school grade criteria for the school in total.

## FIWRIDA SCHOU'LIR ADES

SYear: 2010-2011,2009-2010,2008-2009,2007-2008,2006-2007,2005-2006,2004-2005,2003-2004,2002-2003

## You selected:

District: SARASOTA
Years: 2010-2011, 2009-2010, 2008-2009, 2007-2008, 2006-2007, 2005-2006, 2004-2005, 20032004, 2002-2003
School Grades:
Report Type: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
Modify Selections | Return to List of Schools | Go

| 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) | Sarasota SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY - |
| :---: | :---: |
| Report - School Level - Page 1 | 0074 |

Detailed Report
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c||c||c||}\hline \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Did the School Make } \\ \text { Adequate } \\ \text { Yearly Progress? }\end{array} & \text { NO } & \text { Percent of Criteria Met: 92\% }\end{array}\right]$

| 2009-2010 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Report - School Level - Page 1 |  | Sarasota SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY 0074 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detailed Report |  |  |  |  |
| Did the School Make Adequate Yearly Progress? | YES | Percent of Criteria Met: 100\% |  |  |
| Total Writing Proficiency Met: | YES | 2009-2010 <br> School <br> Grade: |  |  |
| Total Graduation Criterion Met: | YES |  |  |  |
|  | 95\% Tested Reading | 95\% Tested Math | Reading Proficiency Met | Math Proficiency Met |
| TOTAL | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| WHITE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| BLACK | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| HISPANIC | YES | YES | NA | NA |
| ASIAN | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| AMERICAN INDIAN | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES | YES | YES | NA | NA |


| 2008-2009 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) <br> Report - School Level - Page 1 |  | Sarasota SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY 0074 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detailed Report |  |  |  |  |
| Did the School Make Adequate Yearly Progress? | NO | Percent of Criteria Met: 90\% |  |  |
| Total Writing Proficiency Met: | YES | 2008-2009 <br> School <br> Grade: | C |  |
| Total Graduation Criterion Met: | YES |  |  |  |
|  | 95\% Tested Reading | 95\% Tested Math | Reading Proficiency Met | Math Proficiency Met |


| TOTAL | YES | YES | NO | YES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WHITE | YES | YES | NO | YES |
| BLACK | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| HISPANIC | YES | NO | NA | NA |
| ASIAN | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| AMERICAN INDIAN | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ECONOMICALLY <br> DISADVANTAGED | YES | YES | NO | YES |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE <br> LEARNERS | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| STUDENTS WITH <br> DISABILITIES | YES | YES | NA | NA |


| 2007-2008 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Report - School Level - Page 1 |  | Sarasota SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY 0074 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detailed Report |  |  |  |  |
| Did the School Make Adequate Yearly Progress? | NO | Percent of Criteria Met: 87\% |  |  |
| Total Writing Proficiency Met: | NO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007-2008 } \\ & \text { School } \\ & \text { Grade: } \end{aligned}$ | B |  |
| Total Graduation Criterion Met: | YES |  |  |  |
|  | 95\% Tested Reading | 95\% Tested Math | Reading Proficiency Met | Math Proficiency Met |
| TOTAL | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| WHITE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| BLACK | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| HISPANIC | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ASIAN | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| AMERICAN INDIAN | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | YES | YES | NO | NO |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES | YES | YES | NO | NO |


| 2006-2007 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Report - School Level - Page 1 |  | Sarasota SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY -0074 0074 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detailed Report |  |  |  |  |
| Did the School Make Adequate Yearly Progress? | NO | Percent of Criteria Met: 85\% |  |  |
| Total Writing Proficiency Met: | YES | 2006-2007 <br> School <br> Grade: | B |  |
| Total Graduation Criterion Met: | NO |  |  |  |
|  | 95\% Tested Reading | 95\% Tested Math | Reading Proficiency Met | Math Proficiency Met |
| TOTAL | YES | YES | NO | YES |
| WHITE | YES | YES | NO | YES |
| BLACK | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| HISPANIC | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ASIAN | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| AMERICAN INDIAN | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | YES | YES | NO | NO |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES | YES | YES | NO | YES |


| 2005-2006 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Report - School Level - Page 1 |  | Sarasota SARASOTA MILITARY ACADEMY 0074 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detailed Report |  |  |  |  |
| Did the School Make <br> Adequate <br> Yearly Progress? | Provisional | Percent of Criteria Met: 95\% |  |  |
| Total Writing Proficiency Met: | YES | 2005-2006 <br> School Grade: | B |  |
| Total Graduation Criterion Met: | NO |  |  |  |
|  | 95\% Tested Reading | 95\% Tested Math | Reading Proficiency | Math Proficiency |

School Accountability Report .

|  |  |  | Met | Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| WHITE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| BLACK | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| HISPANIC | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ASIAN | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| AMERICAN INDIAN | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | YES | YES | NA | NA |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES | YES | YES | NO | YES |



School Accountability Keport .
Detailed Report

| Did the School Make Adequate Yearly Progress? | NO | Percent of Criteria Met: 87\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Writing Proficiency Met: | YES | 2003-2004 School Grade: | C |
| Total Graduation Criterion Met: | NA |  |  |
|  | 95\% Tested | Reading Proficiency Met | Math Proficiency Met |
| TOTAL | YES | YES | YES |
| WHITE | YES | YES | YES |
| BLACK | NA | NA | NA |
| HISPANIC | NA | NA | NA |
| ASIAN | NA | NA | NA |
| AMERICAN INDIAN | NA | NA | NA |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | NO | NO | YES |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | NA | NA | NA |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES | NO | NO | YES |

2002-2003 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Report - School Level - Page 1
Sarasota - 0074

## Detailed Report

## Guides to the Calculations

- 2012 School Grades Guide Sheet (PDF)
- School Grades Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)
- Alternative School Improvement Ratings Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)
- Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) Technical Assistance Paper 2011-12 (PDF)


## Section IX. Future Plans of the School

The Charter School should briefly discuss the future plans for the school, its students, and community.

- Please itemize any new instructional approaches planned for the future.

SMA students consistently achieve high marks on FCAT, PERT and EOC exams, and their acceptance rate to the Military Academies and State Universities is outstanding. We intend to continue to expand our Honors, AP, Dual Enrollment, and provide enrichment opportunities for students interested in presenting at the District, State, and National Science Fairs.

We have also begun the International Bachelorette application process, and will offer SMA Pre IB classes during the 2013-2014 school-year.

In addition to the use of the Kagan approach, we will implement Marzano book studies next year. Marzano provides research-based methods for faculty and staff, and supports newly implemented evaluation processes as well.

- Please submit any facility drawings or other building improvement documents (if applicable).

Construction is underway on the third phase of our long range expansion and remodeling plan. This phase is scheduled to be completed on 1 August, 2013 and will include a three story, $32,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$. classroom building and counseling and registrar offices. Since this entire phase was paid through the generous donation from Mr. and Mrs. Jack Urfer, SMA incurred no additional debt associated with this construction.

- Please define any ongoing concerns for which the school will need external assistance. N/A



Sarasota Military Academy
Second Floor Plan


Sarasota Military Academy
Third Floor Plan



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Under Florida's AYP plan, schools with a grade of D or F cannot be designated as making AYP. collected and how to determine AYP. the writing percent proficient is $>90$ or the graduation rate is $>85$, increases are not required. $15 \%$ of the school's tested population or 100 students is required for each group within a school.
    Note: All percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number. The Y and N designations indicate if there was a $1 \%$ increase.
    Note: In order to maintain the anonymity and privacy of students, proficiency data is not displayed for values $<=5 \%$ and $>=95 \%$

    Percent Tested and Proficiency Levels (Part a and $c^{2}$ ): A school or school district makes AYP if $95 \%$ of each group is tested, if each group meets the proficiency targets in reading and mathematics, and if the school-wide writing percent proficient and graduation rate increases.

